# DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAD RIGINAL

## **UNITED STATES** FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In Re Applications of: MM Docket No. 99-153 READING BROADCASTING, INC. File No.: BRCT-940407KF For Renewal of License of Station WTVE(TV), Channel 51 at Reading, Pennsylvania and File No.: BPCT-94063KG ADAMS COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION For Construction Permit for a New Television Station to Operate on Channel 51, Reading, Pennsylvania

Volume: 22

Pages:

2095 through 2115

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date: June 15, 2000

### HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 (202) 628-4888 hrc@concentric.net

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of: MM Docket No. 99-153 READING BROADCASTING, INC. File No.: BRCT-940407KF For Renewal of License of Station WTVE(TV), Channel 51 at Reading, Pennsylvania and ADAMS COMMUNICATIONS File No.: BPCT-94063KG CORPORATION For Construction Permit for a New Television Station to Operate on Channel 51, Reading, Pennsylvania Room TWA-363 FCC 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Thursday,

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:35 a.m.

June 15, 2000

BEFORE: HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL Administrative Law Judge

#### APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Reading Broadcasting, Inc.:

THOMAS J. HUTTON, Esquire C. DENNIS SOUTHARD IV, Esquire Holland & Knight, LLP 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20037-3202 (202) 955-3000

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

### On behalf of Adams Communications Corp.:

HARRY F. COLE, Esquire
Bechtel & Cole, Chartered
1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 833-4190

## On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

JAMES SHOOK, Esquire Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1448

### On Behalf of the Witness:

TIMOTHY D. HAWKES, Esquire Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 736-8067

### <u>INDEX</u>

WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
Paula G. Friedman	2102	2109	2112		

Hearing Began: 9:35 a.m. Hearing Ended: 10:04 p.m.

- 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (9:35 a.m.)3 JUDGE SIPPEL: We are on the record. 4 I don't have anything preliminary information or statements to make this morning. Anybody else have anything 5 6 more or are we ready to go? 7 MR. COLE: Judge, for scheduling purposes, am I 8 correct that Monday we are going to do Ms. Swanson and Mr. 9 Sherwood? 10 MR. HUTTON: That's correct. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. MR. COLE: 12 And then Mr. Kravetz Tuesday morning, and I will alert the others to -- the other witnesses 13 probably tomorrow afternoon, if that's okay with you all. 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: The other witnesses being? 15 MR. COLE: Mr. Gilbert, Mr. Fickinger, Mr. Gaval, 16 when they are going to be on, the order. I believe Mr. 17 Gavel -- Mr. Fickinger, Mr. Gilbert will be available on 18 19 Wednesday. Mr. Fickinger is coming into town Tuesday 20 afternoon. 2.1 MR. SOUTHARD: When do you propose to have Mr. Hagan and Mr. Guemans? 22 23 MR. COLE: At this point I haven't decided whether 24 I'm going to bring them in. 25
  - MR. SOUTHARD: All right. I'll let you guys know

    Heritage Reporting Corporation
    (202) 628-4888

- no later than tomorrow afternoon. That won't be a problem?
- MR. SHOOK: So basically we're going to have a
- 3 break from Tuesday morning until Wednesday morning?
- 4 MR. COLE: Well, we have Kaval. Right now I'm
- 5 figuring Kaval will go in Tuesday afternoon.
- 6 MR. SHOOK: Oh, okay.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, so that covers Monday,
- 8 Tuesday and then Wednesday would be Mr. Gilbert and Mr.
- 9 Fickinger?
- 10 MR. COLE: That's correct.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: With the possibility of Mr. Hagan -
- 12 -
- MR. COLE: Mr. Guemans.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And what about Mr. Matmiller, is
- 15 that gone?
- 16 MR. COLE: Yeah, I will have a formal withdrawal
- of my notification on Mr. Matmiller. I'm not interested in
- 18 talking to him.
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Okay, I think that
- 20 covers the schedule then.
- And we have a witness this morning who I don't
- 22 want to keep waiting any longer.
- MR. SOUTHARD: Your Honor, if I may --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry.
- MR. SOUTHARD: We have another Telemundo matter.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
2	MR. SOUTHARD: We received this morning a large
3	package of I'm sorry, yesterday a large package of
4	documents from Telemundo, consisting of Ms. Swanson's
5	handwritten notes and her personal calendar, with the
6	unfortunate exception of May and June of 1999, which she
7	claims to have lost, and her research material.
8	My present concern is with two matters. The
9	handwritten notes that we obtained Telemundo did not claim
10	it contained any privileged information, and yet there
11	appears to be a number of redactions, and unfortunately,
12	this is becoming something that's akin to pulling teeth to
13	get complete documents from them.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I think what the redactions
15	are it's probably isn't it explained in the letter that
16	they redacted things that don't relate to the case?
17	MR. SOUTHARD: The letter that we got does not
18	indicate that there are any redactions at all, nor does it
19	explain them. It simply says, "Upon review, we have
20	determined to produce all of the relevant pages in the above
21	categories because we have determined that none of the
22	material is privileged or constitute work product.
23	Therefore, on <u>in camera</u> production to the presiding officer
24	has or will occur of these materials," and yet they clearly

are redacted.

1 And it appears that all the notes concern this 2 matter and therefore I believe the redactions are of 3 information which does concern this case. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not going to get into 4 5 that right now and hold up the witness. 6 MR. SOUTHARD: I understand that, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got work to do on the billings 7 8 this afternoon. I'm going to get the unredacted version of the billing material in. By the time I leave the courtroom 9 later this morning, I've got to take a look at that. We 10 will talk about this when Ms. Friedman is finished. 11 12 There has got to be -- there has got to be a reasonable cut on this because of the time frame. 13 MR. SOUTHARD: I understand that, and we certainly 14 don't want to hold up Ms. Friedman, so I'll be glad to 15 discuss it with you. 16 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, alright. Is Ms. Friedman ready? 18 MR. COLE: Yes, sir. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Shook, did you have anything? 20 MR. SHOOK: Nothing. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. 22 23 JUDGE SIPPEL: Please raise your right hand. 24 Whereupon,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

PAULA G. FRIEDMAN

25

- having been duly sworn, was called as a witness
- and was examined and testified as follows:
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Please be seated. If you want to
- 4 help yourself to some water before we start, take the top
- 5 off before you pour.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I'm fine, thank you.
- 7 MR. COLE: Ready to go, Your Honor?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All set.
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. COLE:
- 11 O Good morning, Ms. Friedman. Welcome to the case.
- 12 Could you state your name and address for the
- 13 record, please?
- 14 A It's Paula Friedman, 11100 Marcliff Road, in
- 15 Rockville, Maryland.
- 16 Q And Ms. Friedman, am I correct that you are
- 17 appearing here today pursuant to a subpoena which was served
- on you by Adams Communications Corporation?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you are independently represented by Mr.
- 21 Hawkes?
- 22 A Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Good morning, Mr. Hawkes.
- MR. HAWKES: Good morning.
- BY MR. COLE:

- 1 Q Ms. Friedman, you are an attorney; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Could you describe briefly your professional
- 5 background?
- 6 A I graduated law school in 1989. I worked at
- 7 Schnader Harrison, then at Sidley & Austin from April of
- 8 1990 through September 1995. I also was the executive
- 9 director of the Federal Communications Bar Association from
- 10 about February 1994 through July of 1999.
- 11 Q Are you currently practicing law?
- 12 A No, I am not.
- 13 Q Did you go directly to Schnader from law school?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q During the time that you practiced law both with
- 16 Schnader and with Sidley, is it correct to say that you
- 17 specialized in communications law?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q During the course of your professional experience,
- 20 Ms. Friedman, did you have occasion to represent Mr. Micheal
- 21 Parker or any businesses in which Mr. Parker was a
- 22 principal?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And for the sake of your testimony this morning,
- 25 please understand that when I refer to any representation of

- 1 Mr. Parker, I intend that to include representation of Mr.
- 2 Parker or entities in which Mr. Parker was a principal.
- When did you first encounter Mr. Parker in your
- 4 professional practice?
- 5 A I think it was probably around 1990.
- 6 Q And how did you come to represent him?
- 7 A He was a client of Sidley & Austin.
- 9 A I believe so, yes.
- 10 Q Did you work with Mr. Parker at Schnader Harrison
- 11 or just at Sidley?
- 12 A I don't recall.
- 13 Q Do you represent him now in the year 2000?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Do you recall when you stopped representing him?
- 16 A Well, I left Sidley & Austin in September of 1995.
- 17 Q Was he still a client of Sidley in 1995?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 Q Do you recall representing -- strike that.
- Do you recall assisting -- strike that.
- In connection with Mr. Parker, did you represent
- 22 Reading Broadcasting, Incorporated?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Do you recall preparing for Reading Broadcasting,
- 25 Incorporated a long form, FCC Form 315 application in

- 1 November of 1991?
- 2 A Yes.
- Now, in front of you on the able you will find a
- 4 gray notebook with international emergency labels all over
- 5 it entitled "Phase II Exhibits."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Would you please turn, Ms. Friedman, to tab No.
- 9 52, Exhibit No. 52, and take a look at that, please?
- And my first question is, as you look through it,
- is that the long form, FCC Form 315 application which you
- 12 assisted Reading Broadcasting to prepare in November of
- 13 1991?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O Okay, now, if you also look one document back to
- 16 Exhibit No. 51. This is a long form, FCC Form 315
- application involving a television station at Norwell,
- 18 Massachusetts that was filed in July of 1991.
- 19 Were you involved in any way in the preparation of
- 20 the application which is Exhibit No. 51?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q As far as you are aware, did anyone at Sidley
- draft any portion of this application for Norwell,
- Massachusetts, which is Exhibit 51?
- A Not that I'm aware of.

- 1 Q And as far as you are aware, was anyone at Sidley
- 2 involved in any way in the preparation of any portion of
- 3 this application which is Exhibit 51?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Do you know who did prepare this Exhibit 51?
- 6 A No, I don't.
- 7 Q Did you represent Mr. Parker in connection with an
- 8 application for proposing his acquisition of an
- 9 international broadcast station in Dallas, Texas?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q And let me show you or refer you to Exhibit No.
- 12 54, again in the gray folder, and this is an assignment
- application, FCC Form 314 filed in August of 1992, proposing
- 14 the assignment of the license of Station KCBI to an entity
- 15 called Two If By Sea Broadcasting Corporation.
- 16 Once you have had a chance to look through Exhibit
- 17 No. 54, my question to you is were you involved in any way
- in the preparation of that application; --
- 19 A No.
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q To your knowledge, did you or anyone else at
- 23 Sidley draft any portion of Exhibit 54?
- 24 A Not that I know.
- 25 Q And finishing up, to the best of your knowledge,

- did you or anyone else at Sidley -- were you or anyone else
- 2 at Sidley involved in any way in the preparation of Exhibit
- 3 No. 54?
- 4 A Not that I know of, no,
- Okay, now, let's go back to the Reading
- 6 application, which is 52, and could you describe briefly
- 7 what your role in the preparation of this application was?
- A I compiled the information, the exhibits, made
- 9 sure the form was typed and filled in correctly, and
- 10 prepared it for filing.
- 11 Q How did you compile the information?
- 12 A I don't recall specifically, but I normally got
- information from the client and compiled the information
- into the exhibits and matched them against the questions in
- 15 the form.
- 16 Q When you say you might have received some
- information from the client, do you recall any
- 18 individuals -- strike that.
- 19 When you referred to the client, that's Reading
- 20 Broadcasting, Inc.; is that right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q And do you recall what individuals within Reading
- 23 Broadcasting, Inc. provided you information?
- 24 A I don't recall specifically, but I dealt with
- 25 Micheal Parker and Barbara Hendrickson who worked for him.

- 1 Q Point of clarification, Ms. Friedman. When you
- 2 say "Barbara Hendrickson," is it possible that you are
- 3 referring to Linda Hendrickson?
- 4 A Linda. Excuse me. Yes.
- 5 Q Is it also possible you were referring to Barbara
- 6 Williamson?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Just checking.
- 9 A It's been a long time.
- 10 Q All right, now, and do you recall what particular
- information any of those three individuals provided you in
- connection with the Reading application, which is Exhibit
- 13 52?
- 14 A I don't recall specifically.
- 15 Q Could you turn now to page 29 of Exhibit 52?
- This is a document entitled "Exhibit 3."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Did you draft this exhibit?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Do you know who did draft the exhibit?
- 22 A No, I don't.
- 23 Q Do you know if this information was originally
- 24 drafted for inclusion in this November '91 long form
- 25 application?

- 1 A I don't know.
- 2 Q Do you know where you obtained the language to
- 3 include in this Exhibit No. 3?
- 4 A I don't recall specifically.
- 5 Q Do you recall generally anything?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Did you have any discussions concerning the
- 8 language of this Exhibit No. 3 with anyone else?
- 9 A I don't remember.
- 10 Q Do you recall discussing it with Mr. Parker?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q In the course of preparing this application, I'm
- referring again to the Reading application, No. 52, did you
- 14 attempt to double check in any way any of the information
- 15 contained in Exhibit 3 to confirm the accuracy of the
- information that's set out in that exhibit?
- 17 A I don't remember.
- 18 Q Do you recall whether you asked anyone else to
- 19 double check this exhibit for accuracy?
- 20 A I don't remember.
- MR. COLE: I have no further questions, Your
- Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, Mr. Shook?
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. SHOOK:

- 1 Q Ms. Friedman, with respect to the preparation an
- 2 exhibit such as the Exhibit 3 that Mr. Cole has been asking
- you about, was it your practice to check the factual
- 4 assertions made in such an exhibit?
- 5 A It depended. I can't say specifically.
- 6 Q Could you give us an idea of what might cause you
- 7 to check the factual assertions?
- 8 A Possibly if I had any doubt as to the information.
- 9 Q Would it have been your practice to read such an
- 10 exhibit in the first place to see what was in there?
- 11 A I can't say specifically. It's been a long time
- 12 since I --
- 13 Q Right. I'm not asking at this point about this
- 14 specific exhibit. I'm just asking about the practice in
- 15 general.
- 16 A Generally, I quess if I had any doubts about
- anything, I would have, but I can't say -- you know, every
- 18 case is different.
- 19 Q And there is nothing that you recall right now
- that triggered any doubt in your mind as to the accuracy of
- 21 the assertions made with respect to this particular exhibit?
- 22 A Not that I recall, no.
- MR. SHOOK: Nothing further.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Hutton, have you got cross-
- 25 examination?

- MR. HUTTON: I do, just very brief, I think.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. HUTTON:
- 5 Q Ms. Friedman, referring to Adams Exhibit 51, which
- is the Norwell, Massachusetts application. I just want to
- 7 make sure I understand your testimony.
- 8 Was it your testimony that you -- that no one from
- 9 Sidley & Austin was involved in any way in preparing that
- application or is it your testimony that you don't know
- whether or not anyone from Sidley & Austin was involved in
- 12 preparing that application?
- 13 A I don't recall. I don't think anyone from Sidley
- 14 & Austin was involved from looking at it, but I don't recall
- 15 specifically.
- 16 Q Okay. I'd like to show you a portion of your
- deposition for purposes of refreshing your recollection.
- 18 I'm referring to page 16, line 22, and page 17, lines 1
- 19 through 4.
- MR. SOUTHARD: May I approach the witness, Your
- 21 Honor?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Please do.
- 23 MR. COLE: I'm sorry. What were the page
- 24 references?
- MR. HUTTON: Page 16, line 22, and page 17, lines

- 1 1 through 4.
- 2 BY MR. HUTTON:
- 3 Q Is it fair to say that at your deposition you
- 4 indicated that you wouldn't know whether or not Mr. Parker
- 5 conferred with anybody at Sidley concerning the preparation
- of any portion of the Norwell application?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q Okay. And is that consistent with your
- 9 understanding as of today?
- 10 A Yes.
- MR. HUTTON: I have nothing further. Thank you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything more?
- MR. COLE: I have one quick question on redirect.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. COLE:
- 16 O Ms. Friedman, if Mr. Parker had conferred with
- someone at Sidley about, for example, the Norwell
- application, would that someone have been Mr. Wadlow?
- 19 A Probably. He was the billing partner.
- MR. COLE: Thank you. I have nothing further,
- 21 Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: May I just ask one question?
- Have you done -- you've done at least several of
- 24 these types of applications in your tenure at Sidley &
- 25 Austin.

- 1 Would this fall in the category of -- was there
- 2 anything unusual or out of the ordinary about this versus
- 3 the other ones that you did?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall, no.
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: It his usual pretty much?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all I have qot.
- 8 You are excused.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you very much.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. I know there has been
- some shifting of schedules here. You are very cooperative.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I appreciate it very much. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: You are excused.
- 17 (Witness excused.)
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go off the record for a
- 19 minute.
- 20 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE SIPPEL: What I am going to do is summarize
- 22 what we discussed off the record and some rulings I made off
- 23 the record.
- I am going to review copies of handwritten notes
- 25 that were furnished to Mr. Southard by Mr. Hayes on behalf

- of Telemundo. I'm going to look at those to see whether or
- 2 not there is some indications of incompleteness that would
- 3 prompt me to look further into it with respect to an in
- 4 <u>camera</u>, a full <u>in camera</u> inspection.
- 5 There are telephone notes, telephone slips,
- 6 rather, that appear to have been missing from the production
- 7 which -- and I am going to pursue that with Mr. Hayes after
- 8 I leave here. I am going to do everything I can to get
- 9 within reason everything that Reading is entitled to
- 10 receive, but pointing out the fact that we are getting very
- close to the testimony and that there are a lot of things
- that need to be done, that need to be focused on. We are
- sort of late in the game to be getting an absolute universe
- of slips of paper drawn out of Telemundo's counsel.
- I'll do the best I can to give you what I think
- 16 you need. I think what the record needs and as I said off
- 17 the record I am confident that you have a significant amount
- of information upon which to examine Ms. Swanson on Monday
- 19 on the added issue.
- 20 And we will be -- I will be available and you will
- 21 be available, Mr. Southard, and I'm going to see to it that
- 22 Mr. Hayes and/or Ms. Swanson will be available this
- 23 afternoon for further conference call on this. I'm going to
- do the best I can to make this as right as it can be, but we
- do have to make some cuts at this point.

1 That's all I have. Do you want to add anything to 2 that? 3 MR. SOUTHARD: No, that would be fine. Thank you. 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the sum and substance of 5 what we talked about? 6 MR. SOUTHARD: Yes, it was. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. We are now off the record. We are in recess until -- oh, just a second. We 8 are in recess until Monday at 9:30, and the first witness 9 1.0 will be Ms. Swanson. Thank you very much. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 ALL: (Whereupon, at 10:04 a.m., the hearing in the 12 above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at 9:30 13 a.m., on Monday, June 19, 2000.) 14 15 // // 16 17 11 18 11 // 19 20 11 11 21 22 // 23 // 24 11 25 //

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 99-153

CASE TITLE: In Re: READING BROADCAST, Inc.

**HEARING DATE**: June 15, 2000

LOCATION: Washington, DC

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: 6-15-00

John DelPino Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018

### TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: 6-26-00

Joyce Boe Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

### PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.  $^{\land}$ 

Date: \_6-26-00\_

Lorenzo Utories

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation